



Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore

Post Graduate Programme in Public Policy and Management

Antidumping as a Trade Remedy Measure:

**Evidence from Three Countries
(US, EU and India)**

By

Susanta Sekhar Das, ITS

**A dissertation submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the Post Graduate Programme in Public
Policy and Management of the**

Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore

2003

Chairperson

**Post Graduate Programme in
Public Policy & Management**

Dissertation

Advisory Committee

Members of the Dissertation Advisory Committee

1. Professor Rupa Chanda

2. Professor Chiranjib Sen

Abstract

Antidumping as a Trade Remedy Measure:

Evidence from Three Countries
(US, EU and India)

By

Susanta Sekhar Das

Supervisory Committee:

Professor Rupa Chanda
Professor Chiranjib Sen

Regulation of foreign trade has remained one of the most important policy issues faced by national governments. While the trade policy regime world over has been witnessing progressive liberalisation under multilateral arrangements, demand for trade remedy regulations for protecting domestic producers from unfair competition, has been increasing. Antidumping, as one of these trade remedy instruments or contingent protection measures, has occupied the center stage, as the instrument of first choice, due to inherent protectionist elements in the GATT code and national regulations. Increasing use of this instrument by both traditional and non-traditional user has been a matter of concern because of the trade distorting effects of such actions.

This paper examines the evolution and spread of the instrument of antidumping from economic, legal and political economy angles. It analyses the GATT Code of Antidumping and the national regulation of the three most frequent users of this instrument, i.e., the US, the EU and India. The paper observes that while it is essential to protect the domestic industry from unfair trade practices, it is also important to determine how ‘unfair’ these unfair practices are. Any trade remedy law should separate and distinguish between market distorting trade practices and monopolization attempts from normal price behaviour and competitive advantage of the exporting firms. The paper concludes that while political economy factors might have helped the evolution of this instrument, it is the lack of economic tests for dumping and injury determination, and in-built asymmetries and discretionary elements in the GATT AD codes that are responsible for the growing use of this instrument world over. The paper recommends major changes in the GATT code of antidumping to bring in economic rationality and public interest tests into the code, in order to prevent competition restricting practices under the garb of trade remedy actions.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables.....	VIII
List of Figures.....	IX
List of Charts.....	X
Acknowledgements	XI
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Need for the study.....	7
1.2 Objectives.....	9
1.3 Methodology.....	10
1.4 Scheme of the Paper	11
Chapter 2: Evolution and Political Economy of Antidumping Actions	12
2.1 Response mechanism to unfair trade and trade remedy laws	12
2.1.1 Safeguards	14
2.1.2 Antidumping.....	15
2.2 Literature Review	17
2.3 Political Economy and Evolution of Trade Remedy Laws	20
2.4 Evolution of Antidumping practices.....	23
2.5 GATT and Pre-Uruguay Round Developments.....	28
2.6 Uruguay Round and Antidumping Negotiations.....	30
2.7 Post Uruguay Round Developments	31
2.8 Post Uruguay Round Negotiations	36

Chapter 3: Legal Framework and GATT Code of Antidumping

And Economics of Dumping.....	40
3.1 Broad Framework.....	40
3.2 WTO Definitions and Concepts.....	43
3.2.1 Dumping	43
3.2.2 Injury	44
3.3 National Regulations	45
3.3.1 United States.....	45
3.3.2 European Union	47
3.3.3 India.....	48
3.4 Issues of Fundamental Principles: Economics of Dumping.....	49
3.4.1 Economics of Dumping.....	49
3.4.2 Gainers and Losers in a dumping situation	56
3.5 Economic effects of antidumping actions	57

Chapter 4: Substantive Elements: Fair Comparison and Determination of Dumping margin.....

4.1 Basic Definition of Dumping	61
4.2 Determination of Normal Value.....	64
4.2.1 ‘Like product’ in the country of export	66
4.2.2 Sales in ‘Ordinary course of trade’	68
4.2.3 EC method of Normal value calculation	70
4.2.4 Normal Value determination in the U.S.....	71

4.2.5 Normal Value determination in India.....	72
4.3 Sales below Cost of production	73
4.4 Treatment of Related Party sales	75
4.5 Constructed Normal Values	79
4.5.1 Constructed Normal Value in the EC	80
4.5.2 Constructed normal value calculation in the US.....	82
4.5.3 Constructed Normal Value in India.....	84
4.6 Third Country Sales Price for Comparison	85
4.7 Determination of Export Price	86
4.7.1 Export Price Determination in the EU	87
4.7.2 Export Price Determination in the U.S.....	89
4.7.3 Export Price Determination in India.....	90
4.8 Comparison between Normal Value and Export Price	91
4.8.1 Prices Adjustment in EU.....	91
4.8.2 Adjustments in US practice	93
4.8.3 Constructed Export price in India and Price Comparison.....	95
4.9 Dumping Margin Calculation.....	96
4.9.1 Practice in the EC (Zeroing).....	97
4.9.2 Comparison: Practice in the U.S.....	99
4.9.3 Indian Practice of Comparison	102
4.10 Treatment of Imports from Non-Market Economies	103

4.11 Comparative Analysis and discussion	103
Chapter 5: Substantive Elements: Injury Determination.....	112
5.1 WTO Provisions	111
5.2 Determination of Like Product.....	116
5.2.1 “Like product” in the EC.....	117
5.2.2 “Like product” in the US	118
5.2.3 “Like Product” in India	119
5.3 Determination of Domestic Industry.....	120
5.3.1 The EC Community Industry	122
5.3.2 The US Domestic Industry.....	123
5.3.3 Domestic industry in India	125
5.4 Determination of Injury	125
5.4.1 Injury determination in the EC	128
5.4.2 Injury determination in the US	132
5.4.3 Determination of Injury in India	138
5.5 Causal Links	141
5.5.1 Causal links in the EC.....	143
5.5.2 Causal links in the US	144
5.5.3 Causal links in India	145
5.6 Injury Margin	146
5.7 Chapter Summary	147

Chapter 6: Procedures: Initiation, Investigation, Reviews and Institutional Arrangements	153
6.1 WTO Provisions.....	153
6.2 Institutional Arrangements	156
6.2.1 The EU	156
6.2.2 The US	157
6.2.3 India.....	158
6.3 Investigation Process.....	160
6.3.1 Initiation of Antidumping Actions.....	161
6.3.2 Preliminary Injury and Dumping Determination	167
6.3.3 Final Injury and Dumping Determinations, Imposition and Collection of Duty.....	177
6.4 Reviews	185
6.4.1 Administrative Reviews in the EC.....	186
6.4.2 Judicial Reviews in the EC	189
6.4.3 Review process in the US.....	189
6.4.4 Judicial Reviews in the US.....	194
6.4.5 Reviews in India	195
6.5 Suspension Agreement and Price Undertaking.....	196
6.6 Antidumping Measures by India: An overview	198
6.7 Chapter Summary and Conclusions.....	202

Chapter 7: Findings and Conclusions	207
7.1 Political Economy Argument.....	207
7.2 Economic Arguments and Antidumping Code	213
7.2.1 Economic effects of antidumping actions	216
7.2.2 Economic tests for antidumping action	215
7.3 New Approach.....	221
7.3.1 Economic tests and Public interest tests	222
7.3.2 Definitions	222
7.3.3 Initiation	223
7.3.4 Balancing the burden of proof.....	226
7.3.5 Redefine the “ordinary course of trade”	227
7.3.6 Use of third-country sales price	227
7.3.7 Revise Criteria for use of “constructed normal value” ..	228
7.3.8 Elimination of Practice of “Zeroing”	229
7.3.9 Principles of comparison.....	229
7.3.10 Appropriation of costs and treatment of S&GA expenses and Adjustment process.....	230
7.3.11 Definition of related party sale and ‘arms-length sales’	231
7.3.12 Injury and Causal Link.....	231
7.3.13 Cumulation	232
7.3.14 Mandate “Lesser-Duty Rules”	233
7.3.15 De minimis level	234

7.3.16 Public interest test	234
7.3.17 Termination of antidumping duty measures	235
7.3.18 Indiscriminate use of “Facts Available” clause.....	236
7.3.19 Transparency	236
7.4 Antidumping Policy of India: A road map	237
7.4.1 Institutional Framework	240
7.5 Conclusion	244
Bibliography.....	i-ix
Annexure 1: Comparative Table of Substantive Rules and Practices: Dumping	x-xvi
Annexure 2: Comparative Table of Substantive Rules and Practices: Injury.....	xvii-xxi
Annexure 3: Comparative Table of practices and procedures	xxii-xxx
Annexure 4: Tables	xxxi
Annexure 5: Figures.....	xxxii-xxxiv
Annexure 6: Charts	xxxv-lii
Annexure 7: Special Provision for Non-Market Economy countries	liii-lx
Annexure 8: Factors and Indices for Injury Determination	lip
Annexure 9: U.S. Antidumping action: review proceedings	lxii-lxvi
Annexure 10: Judicial, Arbitral or Administrative Reviews.....	lxvii-lxxvi
Annexure 11: Impact Analysis	lxxvii-lxxxi

LIST OF TABLES

Annexure I

<i>Table Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
1. Initiation of Antidumping Actions 1995-99.....	5
2. Top 10 antidumping users, total measures in place, 1995-2000.....	25
3. European Union: Antidumping and Anti-subsidy cases Initiated (1997-2001).....	26
4. Antidumping Actions Reporting Country wise.....	34
5. Examples and effects of Zeroing	98
6. Year-wise break-up of anti-dumping cases.....	199
7. Antidumping Actions (targeted countries) 1987-1997	xxi

LIST OF FIGURES

Annexure II

<i>Figure Number</i>	<i>Page</i>
1. Antidumping action (1987-97) All countries	3
2. Antidumping initiations by developed and developing economies, (1986-99)	4
3. AD measures levied against Developing Countries by Developing Countries	6
4. Share of Selected Countries in World Antidumping Initiations.....	24
5. Year-wise break-up of Anti-dumping cases (1992-2002): India.....	28
6. Trends in Trade Defence Measures.....	33
7. Reciprocity Ratios: Antidumping Initiations and Measures	35
8. Determination of normal value	65
9. Target Country-wise distribution of AD Measures by India	200
10. Product-wise distribution of AD Measures by India.....	201
11. Rise in antidumping actions in response to tariff liberalisation in the developing countries	208
12. Spread of Anti-dumping Use 1987-2001	209
13. Share of Definitive Measures imposed, by reporting party	209
14. Share of Definitive Measures, by affected party	210
15. Share of all definitive measures, by sector	210
16. Comparative Positions of Trade Remedy actions.....	xxii
17. Antidumping Administration: comparative staff strength	xxxiii
18. Price Effects of Dumping and Price Discrimination	xxxiv

LIST OF CHARTS

<i>Chart Number</i>		<i>Page</i>
<u>Annexure III</u>		
1.	The US antidumping margin calculation (Price-to-price comparison for export price)	xxxv
2.	The US antidumping margin calculation (constructed export price) ..	xxxvi
3.	The US antidumping margin calculation (US export price to constructed normal value).....	xxxvii
4.	The EU Antidumping Administration.....	xxxviii
5.	The US Antidumping Administration	xxxix
6.	Indian Antidumping Administration	xl
7.	The EU Antidumping Process Flow Diagram: Initiation	xli
8.	The US Antidumping Process Flow Diagram: Initiation	xlii
9.	Indian Antidumping Process Flow Diagram: Initiation	xliii
10.	The EU Antidumping Process Flow Diagram: Preliminary findings....	xliv
11.	The US Antidumping Process Flow Diagram: Preliminary findings	xlv
12.	Indian Antidumping Process Flow Diagram: Preliminary findings.....	xlvi
13.	The EU Antidumping Process Flow Diagram: Final findings	xlvii
14.	The US Antidumping Process Flow Diagram: Final findings	xlviii
15.	India Antidumping Process Flow Diagram: Final findings.....	xlix
16.	The EU Antidumping Reviews	l
17.	The US Antidumping Investigation Time Table.....	li
18.	The EU Antidumping actions and Member States coordination	lii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The author wishes to express his sincere thanks and appreciation to Professors Rupa Chanda and Chiranjib Sen of Indian Institute of Management, Bangalore for their guidance and supervision in the preparation of this paper. In addition, special thanks to Professor P.K.M Tharakan, University of Antwerp for providing the much needed references and guidance at the very initial stages of the study. His familiarity with the needs and ideas of the subject was helpful during the early proposal phase of this thesis. Thanks are also due to Reinhard Ragonig and Sandra Gallina, of the European Commission, Directorate General Trade, and Krista Lucenti of World Trade Institute for their kind help and providing useful insight into the subject.

The author also wishes to record his sincere thanks to Shri L. Mansingh, Director General of Foreign Trade, and Shri L.V. Saptarishi, Designated Authority and Director General Antidumping and Allied Duties, Government of India, without whose kind support it would not have been possible to complete this thesis.

Special thanks are due to Professors Shyamal Roy and, Gopal Naik, all my colleagues and faculty members at IIM, Bangalore for their cooperation and timely feedback during presentations at various stages of preparation of this thesis.

My special thanks are also due to my wife, Manija and our children, Shweta and Saurav for their unflinching support and encouragement for completion of this thesis.

This document was created with Win2PDF available at <http://www.daneprairie.com>.
The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only.